

pioglitazone plus metformin (PIO + MET) versus sulphonylurea plus metformin (SU + MET) oral combination therapies in patients with insufficient glycaemic control despite maximal tolerated dose of metformin monotherapy. **METHODS:** A decision-analytic model employing a Markov process was constructed using TreeAge DATA. The model incorporated efficacy evidence from a key clinical trial comparing the glycaemic control of PIO + MET versus SU + MET, as measured by initial improvements in HbA1c and the rate of disease progression in terms of HbA1c (the coefficient of failure). Treatment pathways reflecting best practice in Scotland, including third-line insulin therapy, were modelled, with published (UKPDS) cost data of diabetes management and co-morbidity treatment. **RESULTS:** Patients treated with PIO + MET achieved better HbA1c control and improved serum lipid profiles, which translated into fewer diabetic complications, better quality of life and improved overall survival. Additional drug costs of PIO + MET over SU + MET were partly offset by lower costs to treat and manage diabetes complications, and delayed third-line insulin therapy. PIO + MET patients incurred mean additional costs of £1217 per patient and gained 0.05 additional quality-adjusted life years (QALY's) per patient compared to SU + MET patients. The estimated incremental cost per QALY gained of PIO + MET compared to SU + MET was £25,599. If a QALY is valued at £30,000, PIO + MET is associated with a net health benefit of £209 per patient (95% confidence interval: -£6679 to £8076). **CONCLUSIONS:** The relationship between HbA1c and the incidence of complications in Type-2 diabetes is well established. Evidence from a large head-to-head trial indicates superior glycaemic (HbA1c) control accompanied by significantly improved serum lipid profiles in patients treated with PIO + MET. Given that PIO + MET provides a positive net health benefit, therefore PIO + MET is a cost-effective intervention relative to current treatment in Type-2 diabetes.

PDB11

PHARMACOECONOMIC ASPECTS OF USE OF INSULIN GLARGINE IN TREATMENT OF DIABETES MELLITUS TYPE 2 (DM T2) IN RUSSIA

Suntsov YI, Dedov II, Komarova VP, Vedeneva LF
Endocrinology Research Center, Moscow, Russia

OBJECTIVES: To conduct a prognostic evaluation of the total cost of treatment of DM T2 and its complications, to estimate the economic effectiveness of the use of insulin glargine. **METHODS:** At stage 1, the costs of treatment of 500 patients in DM T2 from 15 regions of Russia were studied. At stage 2, the predicted prevalence of complications over 10-year time interval and their cost was calculated by the Diabetes Mellitus Model (DMM) using. At stage 3, the total cost of treatment of DM T2 patients in Russia based on the State Register of Diabetes Patients at the moment of the study and prospectively at the 10th year from the start was calculated. The method of cash flow discounting according to the formula $\dot{a} = 1/(1 + r_i)$ was used, where \dot{a} is the discounting coefficient, i is the consecutive number of the period, and r_i is the discounting rate in the i -th period in fractions of a unit. **RESULTS:** According to data of previous comparative studies, the use of insulin glargine leads to reach a lower level of HbA1c versus NPH insulins, and this difference amounts to 0.85%. Taking into account these data, decreases in the predicted prevalence at the end of the 10-year period provided that insulin glargine was used, in comparison to NPH insulin, would amount to 18% for microvascular complications, 25% for chronic renal insufficiency, 10% for macrovascular complications, 13% for myocardial infarction; 22% for diabetic foot syndrome, and 12% for mortality. The

annual costs of treatment of complications in DM T2 patients in Russia should decrease by US\$246.7 million. **CONCLUSIONS:** The use of the human insulin analogue insulin glargine in treatment of DM T2 patients allows the cost of treatment to be decreased mainly due to a decrease in expenditures on treatment of complications.

PDB12

COSTS OF TYPE-2 DIABETES MELLITUS: A COMPARISON BETWEEN DIABETIC AND NON-DIABETIC SUBJECTS

Perelli Cippo P, Scalone L, Mantovani LG

University of Milan, Milan, Italy

OBJECTIVES: Type-2 diabetes mellitus is a common chronic disease and a costly health care problem. The aims of this study were to assess the social costs of type-2 diabetes mellitus and to evaluate the costs of diabetic patients in comparison with non-diabetic subjects. **METHODS:** We conducted a Cost of Illness (COI) analysis from a societal perspective with a 3-month time horizon. Data were collected from a population based naturalistic prospective survey, designed to investigate cardiovascular risk factors in a sample of the Italian general population aged from 40 to 79 years. We selected all type-2 diabetic patients and we matched each of them by age and sex with a non-diabetic subject. Patients were interviewed by general practitioners about clinical/demographic characteristics, medical resource utilization and absence from work during the 3 months before the enrolment visit. Direct medical costs were quantified including hospitalizations, drug therapies, specialist visits, diagnostics and laboratory exams, while indirect costs were estimated based on productivity losses with the Human-Capital-approach. **RESULTS:** We studied 666 patients, 333 with type-2 diabetes matched with 333 without the disease. The mean total cost per patient-month was 228.7€ compared to 169.9€ for patients with and without type-2 diabetes mellitus, respectively ($P < 0.0001$). On average, direct medical cost per patient-month was estimated at 199.2€ in diabetic patients and 129.1€ in non-diabetic subjects ($P < 0.0001$). Hospitalizations accounted for the greatest proportion of health care costs in both groups, followed by drug therapies (hospitalizations: 65.1% and 59.6%; drug therapies: 24.5% and 29.7% in patients with and without type-2 diabetes, respectively). There was no statistically significant difference in indirect costs between diabetic and non-diabetic subjects. **CONCLUSIONS:** The results show that type-2 diabetes mellitus patients aged from 40 to 79 years are more costly than non-diabetic subjects.

PDB13

ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF THE STEPPED VERSUS ORDINARY CARE FOR PREVENTION OF TYPE-2 DIABETES IN THE JDPP: JAPAN DIABETES PREVENTION PROGRAM

Yanagisawa S¹, Shimaya M¹, Nakahara N¹, Kamae I¹, Kuzuya H²

¹Kobe University, Kobe, Japan; ²National Hospital Organization Kyoto Medical Center, Kyoto, Japan

OBJECTIVES: To perform an economic evaluation for the primary prevention of type2 diabetes based on the intermediate report of JDPP. **METHODS:** At first, SF36(V.1.20) and EQ-5D with Japanese version were applied, surveying over 205 participants, to assess whether or not the stepped care in JDPP may change the QOL of the patients with the relevant symptoms of silence. The second, a decision-analytic model was used to combine transition probabilities with clinical stages of diabetes, resource use and cost data in the framework of cost-effectiveness analysis within three years since 1998. The model employed a societal perspective to estimate the expected costs for each group of the stepped vs. ordinary cares which included the direct costs